

**Minutes of 1<sup>st</sup> Meeting  
Of the 103<sup>rd</sup> Union Council  
Friday 5pm, 28 February 2014  
Innes Room 1**

Meeting Opened 5.27pm

**a) Attendance**

**Members Present:** Josh Millroy, Rachel Paterson, Nathan Johnston, Laura Howden, Ricky Lee, Stephen Kakoniktis, Lotte Scheel, Laurence McLean, Pdraig Keys-Macpherson, Danika Maxwell, Isabel Mansfield, Megan Landgraf, Kathryn Cramp, Patrick Bowman, Fiona Gipps, India Anderson, Joshua Keenan, Archer Ruffin, Damien De Haan, Julia Hutton, Alexander Dalton, Elinor Johnston, Ekta Paw, Max Chandler-Mather, Timothy O'Hare, Matthias Falzon, Mark Farago

**Others Present:** Jeremy Crowley, Mike Hopkins, Elliott Johnson, Thomas O'Donnell, Martin Pedretti, Alastair Harrison, Jared Peut, Daniel Magaccis, Tom Davies, Grace Isdale, Lachlan Duffy, Jaya Prasad, Elliott Johnson, Daniel Mouat, Marco Bogicevic, Clare Manton, Alex Bray, Thomas Robertson, Brianna O'Regan, Alexandra Stokes, Brendan Josey, Kurt Tucker, Daniel Wong, Amanda Halliday, Kirby Marshall, Issac Boyd

**Proxy:** Ally Stokes, Fiona Gipps, Jared Peut

**Apologies:** Melanie Keyte, Kyle Jensen, Amanda Acutt, Jamie Van Hoff, Ashleigh McInnes, Georgina Morgan, Brendan Morris, Nikki Roestenburg, Alexander Ngoo, Alexander Perey

It was moved

THAT THE APOLOGIES FOR UNION COUNCIL BE ACCEPTED AND APPROVED

**COUNCIL 103/01**

**MILLROY/JOHNSTON**

**CARRIED**

**b) Elections**

Chairperson for Union Council

Candidate speeches

Jaya Prasad elected chairperson for Union Council

*It was moved*

THAT THE REPORT FOR THE DEPUTY RETURNING OFFICER FOR THE ELECTION OF CHAIRPERSON FOR UNION COUNCIL BE ACCEPTED AND APPROVED

**COUNCIL 103/02**

**MILLROY/JOHNSTON**

**CARRIED**

*It was moved*

THAT THE REPORT FOR THE DEPUTY RETURNING OFFICER FOR THE ELECTION OF GOORIE BERRIMPA OFFICERS BE ACCEPTED AND APPROVED

**COUNCIL 103/03**

**MILLROY/JOHNSTON**

**CARRIED**

**c) Reports of Elected Officers**

*It was moved*

THAT THE REPORTS OF ELECTED OFFICERS BE MOVED EN BLOCK

**COUNCIL 103/04**

**MILLROY/JOHNSTON**

**CARRIED**

TREASURERS REPORT

N. Johnston read treasurer responsibilities

- Two arms – business trading and student services
- Summary of consolidated business trading for December 2013 and January 2014 see treasurers report
- Summary of Student services report for December 2013 and January 2014 see treasurers report

A. Stokes asked what variance between Dec 2013 & Jan 2014 budgets of \$254,731.53 was spent on in December 2013

N. Johnston said no amount close to this was registered, audits received in March will confirm this

A. Stokes requests explanation of difference when handover occurred

N. Johnston replied he could not speak to the previous treasurer's position and the state of the books is what is detailed in the treasurer's report

A. Stokes quoted November 2013 profit and loss statement

N. Johnston states there is discrepancy between the books and what is reported are figures from previous finance manager

*It was moved*

THAT THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES THAT TOOK PLACE AT 1PM TODAY BE TABLED AT THIS UNION COUNCIL MEETING TO DISCUSS FINANCIAL INFORMATION EXCHANGED BETWEEN ALEXANDRA STOKES AND NATHAN JOHNSTON IN THE PREVIOUS QUESTIONS

**COUNCIL 103/05**

**DUFFY/PEUT**

**CARRIED**

J. Keenan stated that an interim budget was to be passed 11 weeks ago in accordance to R213 and this has not taken place and asked under what authority has the treasurer been making payments and if no such authority exists why should union council not pass on costs of expenditure to you

N. Johnston replied that first quarter supply budget approved before I assumed office and understanding it was passed by Executive Committee. First quarter budget presented

J. Peut requested first quarter budget be tabled at next Union Council meeting

N. Johnston agreed

J. Millroy asked question regarding projected surplus and actual deficit

N. Johnston explained numbers are summary numbers and would hesitate to state exactly what expenditure was believed clubs and socs variance related to grants, student rights variance believed to be Dreamworld Party

K. Tucker expressed point of order R8.5 speaker must be heard in silence

J. Prasad requested recommendation

K. Tucker recommended encouraging members to remain silent when treasurer giving his report

N. Johnston happy to table budget at next meeting in more detail

A. Stokes stated about passing budget in December not previous administration responsibility for final weeks in December

J. Peut commented on reference to R213, executive committee does not have authority to pass that budget as administration committee minutes have been tabled anything from previous meeting would be in admin minutes which would have been approved today

N. Johnston clarified J. Peut was referring to admin committee

J. Peut stated administration committee is the only committee with authority to pass and must be called to council which there was not after their meeting

N. Johnston responded when office was taken on December 15<sup>th</sup> budget was presented

J. Millroy explained to current executive understand previous administration used clause R36.5 where when council cannot meet, admin committee cannot meet therefore it falls to executive committee and that's how budget was passed

N. Johnston stated he could not speak to previous decisions but was handed a budget on takeover and reviewed and budgets will be submitted next council meeting pending approval by administration committee

J. Peut asked if first quarter supply budget contained sensitive information, is it available to all voting council members

M. Farago responded that it does not contain sensitive information

B. O'Regan questioned whether the treasurer was aware failure to table consideration of first quarter supply budget in today's administration committee and this union council means this union executive is no longer authorised to expend funds on behalf of the union notwithstanding the exceptions provided for in R199.1

Chairperson read R199.1

J. Millroy read R36.5 and explained previous executive held multiple inquorate meetings which then delegated power to executive committee

N. Johnston requested B. O'Regan to repeat question

B. O'Regan repeated question regarding R.199.1

N. Johnston responded given apparent variations seen in budgets



L. Duffy moved procedural motion that question time be suspended until reports of other Union bodies have been considered by this council

**NOT CARRIED**

K. Tucker proposed procedural motion to suspend standing orders and increase allocated question time from 45 minutes to 90 minutes

Chairperson responded due to efficiency question time should be kept at 45 minutes

J. Peut called decent in the chair

K. Tucker explained decent in the chair

R Paterson stated moved must in speaking to the motion propose an alternative ruling and asked what the alternative ruling the chair J. Prasad is

J. Peut proposing putting K. Tuckers procedural motion to a vote

J. Prasad agreed

R. Paterson stated decent of chair must be put to vote

J. Peut proposed procedural motion of decent in the chair

**CARRIED**

K. Tucker moved procedural motion to suspend standing orders and increase allocated question time from 45 minutes to 90 minutes

**NOT CARRIED**

#### QUESTIONS ON NOTICE

J. Prasad read question on notice from Ekta Paw directed at J. Millroy regarding student representatives on UQ Committee appointments and vacancies

J. Millroy responded with university committee student members

M. Landgraf asked why majority of Reform candidates selected for these committees

J. Millroy replied primary focused on selecting student who would attend but did try to outsource as much as possible

M. Landgraf asked why the overwhelming majority of Reform candidates are to the discretion of the president and where tis discretion has come from

J. Millroy explained his discretion came from overwhelming principle to have the committees full

M. Landgraf clarified to ask M. Millroy where it states the delegations of the positions are at the president's discretion

J. Millroy clarified these are university rules. Clarifies that on paper it may look like a lot of reform candidates on committees but you can note they are on committees that directly relate to their portfolio, therefore if they don't go to the committees they can be held personally accountable

M. Landgraft responded that there aren't other students who have a vested interest

J. Peut clarified that there are an array of different groups but they were predominantly Reform councillors put on bodies relating to faculties in particular

J. Millroy explained that only two faculties have contacted for representatives being BEL and HASS that these decisions were based on accountability and experience in similar role

J. Prasad directed a question on notice to campus culture N. Morris and S. Kakoniktis regarding Humanities Undergraduate Student Society (HUSS) affiliation process

N. Morris explained HUSS had been ratified at a previous Clubs and Societies Committee, but was denied affiliation in the immediate meeting preceding the last committee and therefore we able to be affiliated at last committee meeting

L. Duffy explained referred to R188.8 in the regulations on ratification and asked how they were affiliated

N. Morris responded explaining they had been ratified at a previous meeting and gone through affiliation process, there were discrepancies at previous clubs and societies meeting they were denied affiliation but were able to be accepted at the last meeting

J. Peut replied that as a former member of that committee they were never ratified

A. Stokes agrees with J. Peut's statement

L. Duffy asked how a club could be affiliated if they have not followed the affiliation process and why this was allowed

J. Millroy responded that L. Duffy's club would no have been affiliated if this was the case

L. Duffy responded is not affiliated but point being the ratification process was not followed and asked to know why

N. Morris responded to her knowledge despite being told by people that this club had been ratified at previous committee meetings. If this wasn't the case it can be reassessed at the clubs and societies committee, but working off very limited knowledge gathered from previous administration

L. Mclean moved procedural motion to limit to two supplementary questions per question on notice

#### **NOT CARRIED**

A. Stokes stated that as a member of clubs and societies committee, the minutes from previous C&S committee were confirmed in the first C&S committee and in those minutes it did say that HUSS was not ratified in the last meeting. It was considered in this meeting whether they consider ratification and affiliation together

K. Tucker noted that the minutes show that S. Kakoniktis was present at this C&S meeting as was N. Morris and asked how they could not know what happened at C&S committee

N. Morris responded to clarify she was referring to a ratification that occurred at a previous C&S committee that she was not on. In response to A. Stokes' quote at last C&S committee it wasn't ratified those minutes were accepted but we had information that it wasn't affiliated and that was the knowledge of minutes of the previous meeting and was spoken about in C&S meeting that it had been ratified at a previous C&S meeting

L. McLean commented clubs and societies minutes have not really been published for the last six year, therefore how are students meant to know. Previous administration had no respect for the rules, no respect for the regulations, and no respect for the constitution

J. Millroy spoke in favour of J. Peut's motion but also need to be aware previous administration left appalling records and contempt for union bodies that it is grossly unfair to pin current executive

L. McLean moved a procedural motion to suspend standing orders to

- a) Pause question time
- b) Allow council to move the substantive motion

**CARRIED**

A. Stokes wanted to note that in previous clubs and societies previous minutes were seen and confirmed

N. Morris replied that the minutes were confirmed for the meeting immediately preceding the meeting you were referring to

N. Johnston directed question to A. Stokes asking how she voted and whether she made note to the C&S Committee

A. Stokes clarified whether the question was asking how she voted on the minutes

N. Johnston clarified and asked if she made this information known to the C&S Committee at the meeting

A. Stokes asked N. Johnston to clarify

N. Johnston clarified the point on ratification

A. Stokes responded that the issue was discussed that James Hudson said the club was not ratified and there was a motion put through that HUSS be considered for ratification and affiliation

J. Millroy stated a point of order where you cannot speak for James Hudson when he is not present

It was moved

THAT THE UNION COUNCIL DECLARES THE HUMANITIES UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT SOCIETY AFFILIATED BUT IMPLORES THE CLUBS AND SOCIETIES COMMITTEE FOLLOW APPROPRIATE AFFILITATION PROCESSES IN FUTURE

**COUNCIL 103/08**

**PEUT/MILLROY**

**CARRIED**

J. Prasad directed a question on notice to VP Campus Culture from Alex Bray regarding the notice waived for UQ for Africa Club allowing them to be ratified

N. Morris responded in R7.2 where motions can consider matters of urgency and is recorded in the minutes and recorded that rules were suspended due to urgency given market day date

J. Peut asked to clarify where power is stated

N. Morris responded R7.2

K. Tucker moved procedural motion to suspend standing orders and take questions from the floor as a pose to the agenda for the remainder of question time

**CARRIED**

QUESTIONS FROM THE FLOOR

D. Maggacis directed a question to the president or secretary where both reports have stated having sought legal advice for solicitors to act on the Unions behalf however this authority specifically delegated to the administrative committee under R219. As you had no authority to do so why should union council not pass these costs over to you?

J. Millroy responded with regards to legal advice received, fortunately general manager was able to retrieve most of the advice the previous administration had sought so that was on record and that is what has been referred to (in reports).

- Long briefing with lawyers recently which spoke at length around the various challenges surrounding the Union and the appropriate structures to minimise previous offensive outbreaks over the last few years
- No payment has been made and believed Union received a fee schedule which has not been signed
- Currently in talks with consultant which has incorporated other Unions around Australia, however have not signed formal contract yet

D. Maggacis directed the president to answer original question

J. Millroy responded as no contract has been signed and no fees have been given to any law firm fail to see how any breach has taken place

D. Maggacis suggested perhaps the president should amend his report

J. Millroy responded in the affirmative

K. Tucker directed a question to the president regarding what has been done in regards to the tertiary education union process

J. Millroy assumes K. Tucker is referring to National Tertiary Education Union (NTEU), and was quoted in this month's edition of Semper and thoughts have already been published on the matter where the union regrets any negative imposition on students. Has not met with secretary of NTEU but has asked for a meeting to discuss issues.

B. O' Regan asked if J. Millroy was implying that he hadn't spoken to NTEU and union is supposed to assist students

J. Millroy directed question to B. O'Regan asking how many times Fresh met with NTEU. J. Millroy continued to state that they will be meeting with the NTEU to discuss impact on students however personally J. Millroy agreed they had valid concerns especially regarding dramatic increases in ICTE area where teacher given almost double student numbers with no increase in pay. J. Millroy personally believed class sizes should be smaller to ensure students receive one on one education that they pay for substantially.

A. Stokes asked when the meeting with the NTEU secretary would be held and whether it will be had before or after proposed strike



J. Millroy replied that the meeting will be held before, the secretary emailed Tuesday and obviously been busy with market days this week and haven't been able to finalise date but have spoken informally on number of occasions via phone

P. Keys-Macpherson directed a question to the President to Vice Presidents Student Rights outline if they have and if so how many students have spoken to you about being adversely affected by the NTEU actions

J. Millroy responded that he had not received a single email to any president account or on social media

B. O'Regan responded that she sent an email to the Student Rights VPs on Tuesday evening

J. Millroy responded that have been busy over the past week with o week events

M. Chandler-Mather asked the president one email out of how many UQ students

J. Milroy responded 46,372

L. Mclean directed a question towards the UQU Secretary regarding the new digital noticeboard asking to explain what further steps will be taken to improve communications with students

K. Tucker moved a procedural motion under R14.1 that the speaker no longer be heard due to time constraints

**NOT CARRIED**

J. Peut moved a procedural motion to extend question time for 100 minutes in total

**CARRIED**

R. Paterson explained the Union noticeboard has been launched on Facebook, previously only source of information for students has been archaic physical noticeboard tucked away next to reception. In first few weeks current executive instituted the online noticeboard and in terms of increasing communication further hoping to create mailing list for every union body to make sure information can be sent out directly to every union body and all students interested in those bodies. Facebook page is flawed relies on students knowing about the page and liking the page and Facebook determining whether the posts are important to display on their feeds. Step in correct direction but still a step in the right direction. Union website due for redevelopment and been in talks with J. Millroy, N. Johnston, IT and marketing about putting out tenders to get new website developed. Looking for increased functionality including student accounts where students can log in and see union events and events for clubs they are a part of events for the university and link students into campus life. Not short term projects

L. Duffy asks in discussion about revamping website has there been any ballpark figures as to how much this will cost the union

R. Paterson responded no as tenders have not been put out, haven't looked at putting tenders out yet. At this stage only discussing the functionality and decided may be putting tenders out soon.

L. Duffy in discussion regarding rebuilding website there has been no discussion about any financial figures and how much this will cost

R. Paterson said tenders have not been put out to developers, when tendering begins we can get an idea of how much developers will be looking for in order to implement functionality desired. This question best directed at treasurer N. Johnston

L. Duffy redirect same question to treasurer N. Johnston

N. Johnston responded that process has been entirely internal having preliminary discussions with UQU graphic designer Matthew Harrison, who does amazing work and can't compliment him enough. Matthew has drawn up preliminary design from here will take design to tender external and receive a cost from that.

P. Keys-Macpherson directed question towards VP Gender and Sexuality regarding purple (Inaudible) wondering what it was

L. Scheel responded that this was for International Womens Day held every year on March 8<sup>th</sup> to celebrate achievements of women throughout the world and also acknowledging there is a way to go. As VP Gender and Sexuality who is dealing with Women's rights portfolio very important to acknowledge international Women's day.

M. Falzon asked out of curiosity is there international bloke's day

L. Scheel responded she is unsure

L. Mclean asked what the women's department will be doing for international women's day

L. Scheel responded that the St Lucia campus will be having breakfast Monday 10<sup>th</sup> March to raise money for women's legal service and Zig Zag Womens resource centre to give back and help organisations that do help women of UQ. Event has great speakers; coordinator for women's legal service, ZigZag representative, BRISSC representative, speech from federal shadow minister for women Clare Moore. Also having events for Ipswich and Gatton having morning and afternoon teas. The Women's collective having an open mic night.

I. Mansfield directed a question to the president J. Millroy asking whether QUT contact UQ regarding the World's Largest Toga Party

J. Millroy responded no there was no contact between QUT and UQ. J. Millroy spoke informally to previous Union president Rohan Watt asking if QUT would want to combine toga parties and R. Watts response was that it would never occur. J. Millroy explained biggest regret was not sending a formal email to QUT

J. Peut asked J. Millroy whether Rohan Watt was the official speaker on behalf of QUT Guild

J. Millroy responded seeing how they all run by same group of young liberals thought he was and admitted his mistake

L. Mclean asked as a point of clarification QUT never contacted UQU

J. Millroy confirmed this

L. Duffy directed the related question to the treasurer N. Johnston regarding UQU toga party budget

N. Johnston responded if the chair allows he will submit a forward estimate of the (UQU toga party) budget.

N. Johnston read estimate of UQU toga party budget (*see Toga Party Budget*)

B. O'Regan asked as of the end of the week how many tickets have been sold

N. Johnston replied he could not speak to that number without notice, being handled by marketing department

B. O'Regan clarifies that UQU expects to sell 4000 tickets

N. Johnston responds 5000 tickets according to the budget

K. Tucker requests asking VP Campus culture to give an update on ticket sales

S. Kakoniktis referred the question back to the treasurers

J. Peut asked whether anyone had an estimate

N. Johnston responded he could not speak to it without notice as it is being organised by marketing

T. Robertson asked the treasurer N. Johnston whether and cheaper venue options had been looked at for toga party

N. Johnston responded that only one alternative venue was looked at RNA Showgrounds however despite similar capacity, (Ekka Showgrounds) was a more expensive venue

T. Robertson asked if there was a process to have toga party at UQ

N. Johnston responded that it would be virtually impossible, due to the understanding that the university does not encourage such events to be held on campus and original idea to move the event of campus was at the pushing of the university

L. Mclean asked the treasurer if he had any previous knowledge of previous executive going through an approval process for other locations of toga party

N. Johnston responded that he could not speak to that

F. Gipps stated that last year's toga party made a profit but this year is predicting a loss how can this infer wise spending

N. Johnston responded that he believed toga party is an important cultural event and has provided very good acts. While costs have increased fair to say UQU s providing better services

J. Millroy stated that Dreamworld lost \$50,000; Oktoberfest lost a large amount of money, but not necessarily a bad thing because the job of the union is to provide events and services for students. The treasurer has thought this small loss is worth the benefit it will bring to students

A. Harrison asked how many tickets need to be sold to constitute world's biggest toga party

N Johnston believed Guinness World Record was set in 2011 at about 3,500

J. Peut confirmed this figure

E. Johnson stated that QUT just had around 9000 students at their toga party

N. Johnston responded that he was no aware that that was a Guinness World Record

K. Tucker responded that adjudicators were present

N. Johnston responded as he was not there he could not speak to the events

E. Bowman asked the treasurer regarding the UQ toga party on campus if he was aware of the student that gained brain damage from the last toga party in 2010

N. Johnston responded he was not aware of this

E. Bowman responded that this was part of the reason the event is no longer held on campus he almost died

K. Tucker directed a question to the VP Campus Culture regarding toga party asking how many tickets have been sold and would this meet the overhead costs and would this have been more successful with QUT guild like previous years. Do you feel comfortable telling UQ students you will have the world's largest toga party when estimates are 4000 short of QUTs Toga Party last night?

L. McLean moved that the speaker K. Tucker no longer be heard due to repetition

**NOT CARRIED**

S. Kakoniktis responded that in accordance with N. Johnston's response ticket sales are being handled by marketing and not at liberty to discuss that as they are accountable for reconciliation at the end of the day

N. Johnston added furthermore that ticket sales are an ongoing process

K. Tucker asked in regards to tickets sold so far who is doing reconciliation of tills

S. Kakoniktis responded marketing

K. Tucker asked if enquires have been directed as to ask how many tickets have been sold

S. Kakoniktis responded that he asked marketing department to send through figures at 5.30pm today and have not checked emails since due to this meeting

N. Johnston clarified on behalf of S. Kakoniktis that finance does the reconciliation

N. Morris spoke to K. Tuckers question regarding QUT and cooperation. The UQU representative of UQ students and should be spending money on UQ students in previous years toga party was majority funded by UQ

N. Johnston stated he would have to check that figure

N. Morris said that ultimately money should be spent on UQ students

S. Kakoniktis also stated that in previous years majority of funds have come from UQ

K. Tucker asked if there was any statement of expenditure to back that up because it is believed that QUT have statement to show they have contributed roughly half of the budget

S. Kakoniktis said he does not have the paper with him but can refer to this at a later date

K. Tucker asks furthermore if it is better to spend more student's money on the same event or break even with students' money

S. Kakoniktis responded that this is a different event

K. Tucker responded it is essentially the same

N. Morris states it's a different date with different performers

K. Tucker asked whether this justified the loss of money as a pose to profit

N. Morris responds that this question has already been answered multiple times

L. McLean makes a point of order R8.6 the speaker K. Tucker is not being called upon by the chair to speak

K. Marshall asked if the VPs for Campus Culture please specify which clubs and societies will be affected by the proposed changes in R188.4

N. Morris clarified this is the regulation regarding alcohol and explained essentially societies whose aim is exclusively alcohol related as the regulation states

L. Duffy asked specifically which societies will be affected

N. Morris responded there are over 200 clubs at UQ given this is not a question on notice there is no reasonable way to have gone through and read all aims of every club

K. Tucker stated if you (VP Campus Culture) intend to pass the motion to disaffiliate clubs that have these aims and objectives should it be known which clubs will be affected

N. Morris responded that the rules and regulations put through will have an effect on a concept and a principle and the principle is that UQ Union funds should not be put solely into the funding of alcohol to students

L. McLean directed a question to VP Campus Culture to ask whether they are moving the amendment

N. Morris and S. Kakoniktis responded no

I. Boyd asked if you agree drinking in campus clubs is a problem then why aren't you attacking the problem as a whole rather than clubs that just mention it in their constitution

N. Morris responded that they are attacking clubs whose aim is exclusively the provision of alcohol

I. Boyd asked about clubs still drink all of the time but don't have it in their constitution and aims and objectives

N. Morris responded there is a very large difference between clubs whose objectives are alcohol related and clubs using alcohol as a social event. Furthermore the use of drinking at social events and gatherings is not something being attacked. It is the attack of exclusive use of alcohol and having alcohol as an exclusive objective of a club

E. Johnson asked whether there was any interest in which clubs may or may not be affected by this proposed regulatory change

N. Morris responded no

E. Johnson asked hypothetically that there was a club that had a sole purpose to genuinely appreciate (for example) craft beers or they wanted to organise winery tours they immediately be cut out what have you got in place for those clubs

N. Morris responded if a club does enjoy things such as craft beers it seems unlikely that every single one of their aims if going to be the drinking of beer it's more likely to be something associated with winery tours or appreciating the cultural aspects associated with drinking

E. Johnson responded that if they are going to a winery isn't the aim of that to drink the wine therefore how do you differentiate therefore how will it be worded to draw the line

N. Morris explained that it will depend on the way their aims are phrased

J. Millroy stated it will be at the discretion of the clubs and societies committee not the union

K. Tucker raised a point of order regarding R8.3, R8.4 and R8.5 where the speaker J. Millroy didn't not raise their hand, were not called to do so by the chair and they interrupted another speaker

E. Johnson asked if the changes are going to be made whether the clubs and societies already affiliated will be given an opportunity to change their aims or will they be cut out

N. Morris responded that this is unable to be done as per regulations, what would have to be done is resubmit another application form with different aims because you aren't able to change...you are able to change club names of a society but you cannot change the actual aims of a society without going through the process again because those aims are linked to a number of other things such as insurance with the Union

R. Lee requested the VP Campus culture read the mover and seconder of the motion

N. Morris stated the names Joshua Millroy and Pdraig Keys-Macpherson and also stated that perhaps some of the questions be directed to the movers and seconders of the motion

J. Peut moved a procedural motion that this issue be tabled until the motion is raised at which time it is appropriate to ask the motioned or the seconder these questions

**CARRIED**

P. Keys-Macpherson directed a question to the Semper Editor asking how they were able to produce such an excellent issue in contrast to the glossy rag produced last year

M. Chandler-Mather responded that the previous edition of semper had about five articles and this year wanted to improve. Semper moved to a different model which was to seek out interesting content from students. This year moving to monthly publications with ten editions excluding January and December and also looking to launch dedicated online news website. Semper also creating content submitters list

J. Keenen moved the provisional motion to end question time due to time constraints and more pressing issues.

**CARRIED**

**f) Confirmation of Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

It was moved

THAT THE MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS COUNCIL MEETING BE ACCEPTED AND CONFIRMED

**COUNCIL 103/09**

**LAPSED**

N. Johnston directed a question to the mover A. Harrison and seconder J. Peut stating the seconder was in attendance of this meeting and asked whether he believed this was a true and accurate representation of what occurred at that meeting

A. Harrison withdrew moving rights

J. Peut withdrew seconding rights

L. Mclean expressed concern regarding previous minutes as it stated the meeting opened at 7.30pm the meeting could never have opened as it did not meet quorum and additionally there were many absences which should have been listed

N. Johnston stated his last name was missing and would like that amended

J. Peut added N. Morris' last name is also missing

J. Millroy stated it should be noted that proxies should have been filled in

R. Paterson stated proxies should be listed although she cannot speak to that

It was moved that

MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING BE NOTED THAT SHOULD BE FIXED AND CONSIDERED AT THE MEETING OF THE NEXT UNION COUNCIL

**COUNCIL 103/10**

**MCLEAN/MANSFIELD**

**CARRIED**

A. Stokes raised a point of order that when the speaker is speaking they stand up and face the members as it is hard to hear

**g) Business Arising from the Minutes**

**h) Reports of Other Union Bodies**

The chairperson moved a procedural motion that all reports of other union bodies be moved en block

**CARRIED**

**i) Business which has been proposed**

The chairperson moved a procedural motion that business to be proposed be out of order as it is all regulatory and the notice requirement did not carry

**CARRIED**

It was moved

THAT MOTIONS 36 TO 70 BE PUT ASIDE AS BUSINESS FOR THE NEXT MEETING

**COUNCIL 103/11**

**MCLEAN/PATERSON**

**CARRIED**

It was moved

THAT THE PRESIDENT BE DIRECTED TO

A) WITHDRAW APPOINTMENTS MADE TO THE UNIVERSITY OF QUEENSLAND BODIES

- B) MEET WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE LIFT AND FRESH ELECTORAL GROUPS WITHIN 72 HOURS TO APPORTION THESE APPOINTMENTS IN LINE WITH A NUMBER OF VOTING COUNCILORS EACH ELECTORAL GROUP HOLDS.

THE APPORTIONMENT METHOD WILL BE AS FOLLOWS.

- A) ALL UQU NOMINATED STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE POSITIONS ON THE UNIVERSIT OF QUEENSLAND BODIES WILL BE DEEMED VACANT
- B) THE PRESIDENT OR THEIR NOMINEE WILL SELECT A POSITION THEY WISH TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO
- C) THE LIFT REPRESENTATIVE WILL SELECT A POSITION THEY WISH TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO
- D) THE FRESH REPRESENTATIVE WILL SELECT A POSITION THEY WISH TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO
- E) THE PRESIDENT OR THEIR NOMINEE WILL SELECT A POSITION THEY WISH TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO
- F) THE LIFT REPRESENTATIVE WILL SELECT A POSITION THEY WISH TO MAKE AN APPOINTMENT TO
- G) STEPS B TO F WILL BE REPEATED UNTIL ALL POSITIONS ARE FILLED
- H) WITHIN 72 HOURS THE LIFT AND FRESH REPRESENTATIVES WILL INFORM THE PRESIDENT OF THE CANDIDATES THEY WISH TO APPOINT THEIR SELECTED POSITIONS WHICH LEADS TO
- I) THAT WITHIN 24 HOURS THE PRESIDENT WILL NOTIFY THE RELEVANT UNIVERSITY PARTIES OF UQU STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES
- J) SHOULD ANY FURTHER POSITIONS ARISE THE PROCESS WILL CONTINUE WHERE IT LEFT OFF

**COUNCIL103/12**

**PEUT/KEENAN**

**NOT CARRIED**

R. Paterson made a point of order that business cannot be proposed without notice or a waiver of notice

J. Peut disagreed

J. Peut moved a procedural motion to waive notice whilst reserving the right to differ on interpretation

**NOT CARRIED**

D. Wong moved a procedural motion that Union Council decide whether this matter be considered with a vote

**NOT CARRIED**

K. Tucker made a point of order that the regulations state that when there is a tie the motion fails which means move onto next business

L. McLean moved a procedural motion that the current motion be moved as notice for business for the next Union Council meeting

**CARRIED**

**j) Notice of business to be proposed**

N. Johnston stated as said in the treasurers report pending approval by the administrative committee the 2014 annual budget will be introduced at the next Union Council pursuant to R214



E. Johnson directed a question to the treasurer N. Johnston if the budget is not done for a month's time does that mean no boards and committees can be given money until that point

N. Johnston responded that no they still can be and UQU have been operating by continuing to fund those boards and committees because we don't want activities to ground to a halt until that time

Meeting closed 7.53pm

CONFIRMED